

#### **KYSTVERKET**

#### Sensitivity mapping

Discussion of some key aspects of the Methodology applied in the Norwegian environmental risk assessment preformed in 2010

Brussels 10. October 2013

#### Content

- Process on how to establish an environmental risk picture
  - Establish ship traffic pattern AIS data on ship movements
  - Mapping of spill probability and impact potential
  - Analysis of environmental risk
    - Mapping of environmental sensitivity
    - From potential damage to consequence
    - Establish the risk picture
- Results
  - and use of results as input to oil spill response planning



Work performed for The Norwegian Coastal Administration in 201

#### Probability for acute oil pollution from ship traffic (Same as Be aware and Brisk)

- Establish a traffic pattern based on Automatic Identification System (AIS) data from ships
  - Ship types & size categories





## Probability for acute oil pollution from ship traffic



#### Environmental risk analysis



Tabell 3-2: Anvendt fordeling av tungolje i ulike f	farvann langs kysten av fastlands-Norg	е
---	--	---

Bunkers type	Oslofjorden til og med Lindesnes	Lindesnes-Stad	Stad til og med Lofoten	Lofoten- Kirkenes	Snitt for hele kysten
Tungolje	40 %	27 %	23 %	19 %	25 %
Destillater	60 %	73 %	77 %	81 %	75 %

# Difference in methodology – no rout net established for calculating probability





Map on the right: Illustrates probability for an accidental spill unrelated to oil type and volume. Presented as frequency for an accidental spill

Volumes that can possibly be spilled (spill potential) from ships along the Norwegian coast where divided into four "Volume categories" and three "oil types"

Mengdekategori	Råolje [tonn]	Produkt[tonn]	Bunkers[tonn]
M1	100 - 2000	100 - 2000	< 400
M2	2000 - 20 000	2000 - 20 000	400 - 1000
M3	20 000 - 100 000	> 20 000	1000 - 5000
M4	>100 000	-	-

# thevolume categories in each coastal segment here shown for 2008



8

Probability for oil spill per year, total and divided into volume categories (in ton) for each coastal segment (1-38)based on AIS data for 2008

### Environmental damage potential

Sea surface impact		Damage potential category					
(seabirds / marine							
mammals) +							
shoreline	Spill volume (tons)	DP1	DP2	DP3	DP4	DP5	DP6
Crude oil	100-2000			Х			
	2000-20000				Х		
	20000-100000					Х	
	> 100000						Х
Light refined oil products	100-2000	Х					
	2000-20000		Х				
	20000-			Х			
Heavy refined oil products	100-2000			Х			
	2000-20000				Х		
	20000-					Х	
Light bunker oil	< 400	Х					
	400-1000	Х					
	1000-5000		Х				
Heavy bunker oil	< 400		Х				
	400-1000			Х			
	1000-5000				Х		

### Environmental damage potential



### Environmental sensitivity



### From damage potential to environmental risk



#### Environmental risk picture 2008 37 mints along a 35 33 31 29 27 25 23 No high consequence Kystsegment 21 19 17 High risk areas high consequence areas 0,0% 1,0 % 2,0% 3,0% 4,0 % 5,0% 6,0% 7,0% 8,0 % Sannsynlig Probability (pr. year)

Low

■ K6 ■ K5 ■ K4 ■ K3 ■ K2 ■ K1 | High

## Risk picture for different kind of environmental resources

Seabirds





Fish



#### Good metodology for future forcasting



#### Environmental Risk from ship traffic along the Norwegian Coast



Thank you 🙂