



Bonn Agreement Accord de Bonn

Day 1

14-4-2015

BONN Seminar on Remote Sensing & Legislation Evaluation form

1	Did the contents of the presentations match the purpose of the seminar			
	Good 14	Sufficient 10	Average 2	Poor -
2	Was there sufficient time for presentations			
	Good 15	Sufficient 11	Average -	Poor -
3	Was there sufficient time for discussions			
	Good 14	Sufficient 10	Average 2	Poor -
4	How would you score the presentations			
	Good 14	Sufficient 11	Average 1	Poor -
5	How would you score the meeting arrangements			
	Good 20	Sufficient 3	Average 3	Poor -
6	How would you score the timing of the day			
	Good 19	Sufficient 7	Average -	Poor -
7	Are the requirements for enforcement in MARPOL annexes clear			
	Good 4	Sufficient 20	Average 2	Poor 0
8	Do you have general comments and/or recommendations.			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some of the presentations were really technical and it was hard to follow abbreviations there. Otherwise presentations were great. Specially I like to thank Ann and Ron for good information on the legal point. • It would have been interesting to focus more on MARPOL Annex II and the identification of substances. The technical possibilities to detect and identify chemicals were too little elaborated. • Very nice accommodation and good atmosphere. • Small tables for writing memo would have been nice. • Mobile microphone not always working. Poor acoustics in the meeting room, but otherwise nice and comfortable. • We should have focused more on the future than what we already have in the airplanes. • Inviting industry you will always have some repetition of topics and this difficult to control. All over good presentations. • A round table format would have suited the presentations better. This would also allow more open discussion. • Had hoped that the companies had more focus on new sensors and better sensors. • Would appreciate more technical data about sensors and not only listing of those. • Think this requires a separate seminar to establish to what extent the answer is 			

“yes” to this question (7) and to what extent we agree between the different states, but I think this is continuously discussed with the Bonn Agreement.

- Presentations on the challenges could have helped set the scene and provide a challenge to the suppliers to suggest solutions or developments which way may assist. Beautiful location, excellent arrangements and clear instructions for travel.
- Nice location, but chairs not very comfortable.
- The speakers were good, but I was expecting more information on developments in the industry, especially concerning HNS. We already have sufficient knowledge on techniques regarding oil. The presentations would have been better suited for agencies looking to invest/starting up operations, Dr Hanssen presentation was the highlight of the day.
- Good arrangement with regular breaks and a long lunch. It’s important to have enough time to speak to other participants. A long first day, but I understand the problem of squeezing as much as possible into the seminar.
- Remote Sensing is a very broad term even if it is confined to marine pollution. As a consequence of this some presentations are quite much “at the surface”. Especially for improving the interface between the technical and the legal level it might be worth to hold a special workshop focusing on “substance classification”. There is a huge gap between what the legal side claims (in some nations) and what can be done by Remote Sensing. Remote Sensing is based on a substantially different philosophy than laboratory measurements. RS can provide a lot of useful information, but there is a lack of education of the legal side and probably on insufficient interface between RS and Legal. A considerable potential lies on sensor fusion.