



# Bonn Agreement Accord de Bonn

**Day 2**

**15-4-2015**

## *BONN Seminar on Remote Sensing & Legislation Evaluation form*

1	Did the contents of the presentations match the purpose of the seminar			
	Good 13	Sufficient 15	Average 1	Poor -
2	Was there sufficient time for presentations			
	Good 16	Sufficient 12	Average 1	Poor -
3	Was there sufficient time for discussions			
	Good 11	Sufficient 16	Average 2	Poor -
4	How would you score the presentations			
	Good 13	Sufficient 15	Average 1	Poor -
5	How would you score the meeting arrangements, including the diner			
	Good 23	Sufficient 6	Average -	Poor -
6	How would you score the timing of the day			
	Good 17	Sufficient 12	Average -	Poor -
7	What would be the minimum sensor package for surveillance aircraft and/or vessel			
	<p>Task depending, the package can be selected.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. SLAR/AIS/SATCOM/Handheld camera/FLIR</li> <li>2. A 360° search radar then UV/IR</li> <li>3. Sniffer for Annex 6</li> <li>4. LFS and MWR too specialised.</li> <li>5. For ships: Radar and IR. Possibility to exchange data/ oil sampling kit</li> <li>6. New sensor: FLIR in the range of 3-5 micron should be studied.</li> </ol> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This should have been discussed rather than raised in the evaluation form.</li> </ul>			
8	Do you have recommendations.			
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Would have been good to discuss what the prosecutors require as evidence to enforce each of the MARPOL annexes i.e. list of all the pictures registered and why?</li> <li>• Not enough information presented of examples of different sensor capabilities.</li> <li>• Some countries cooperate better in surveillance flights.</li> <li>• Should there be more Empollex exchange between aircrew to help anchor common standards</li> <li>• The POLLUPROOF study at Cedre is promising. That presentation should have been given more time.</li> <li>• All or most sensors give some results that finally are presented in a map (GIS). A standard of this format would be a benefit for both users and industry.</li> </ul>			

Standardisation of exchange of data-format of geo-orientated.

- Data fusion should be studied in depth
- Would have been better to ask questions during the presentation to get a good discussion. Another arrangement of the chairs could have helped the discussion.
- The P&I club information and the RPAS data communication were the highlights of the day.
- Organisation of surveillance, in particular the human factor could be addressed.
- Examine the possibility to expand existing data bases with information on environmental issues of ships.
- Shorter presentations, focussed on specific questions or “provocative” to have directly afterwards more discussion might have been an option.
- Recommend: development of HNS buoys; sniffer; image data-base on HNS; study behaviour of oil and HNS in the environment.