	Bonn Agreement Accord de Bonn	Day 3 16-4-2015

BONN Seminar on Remote Sensing & Legislation Evaluation form

3	Was there sufficient time for discussions			
	Good 14	Sufficient 9	Average 2	Poor -
5	How would you score the overall meeting arrangements; hotel, bus-service; meeting venue; number of participants; speakers; chair			
	Good 20	Sufficient 5	Average -	Poor -
6	How would you score the timing of the day			
	Good 17	Sufficient 8	Average -	Poor -
7	Do you see the need for future seminar on the issues			
	Yes 24	No 1	Why ➢ To refresh contacts, ➢ Exchange experiences ➢ Learning from results and to address other issues, ➢ We need a follow-up, both on shipping industry and sensor developments ➢ It is a must to continue discussions on progress with different authorities and companies, ➢ Regular seminars are important ➢ Focus on HNS, ➢ Many open points now are left		
8	Do you have general comments and/or recommendations.			
	➢ Could be back2back with an OTSOPA meeting in 2 or 3 years, ➢ Very good meeting, learned a lot. ➢ Recommend less and shorter presentations that are focussed + direct questions and discussions. ➢ As the number of detections decrease, perhaps move to a system of shared resources. Fewer aircraft, better equipped covering part or whole Bonn Area. ➢ Develop or improve efficient Waste Reception Facilities. ➢ Better use of existing data on cargo as detection/observation is only a part of the evidence collection process. ➢ Little technical problem at the end, but nothing bad. ➢ Would be good to have a meeting with investigators and prosecutors also to exchange the best practice. Now each country is dealing with pollution crimes on its own. Who and how to collect evidence and other issues……….. ➢ MARPOL Annex VI should be higher up the agenda. ➢ Not enough focus on discussions about the legal aspects. I found they were rushed although people were keen to discuss it. E.g. there were no discussions on whether we should try to have the same rules in all countries.			